

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,132	02/04/2004	Viktor Kanarov	VII/17US	4276	
26875 7	590 02/28/2006		EXAMINER		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	RON & EVANS, LI	.P	ALEMU, EPHREM		
2700 CAREW TOWER 441 VINE STREET CINCINNATI, OH 45202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2821		

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1.0
-	_	
	-71	
	,,	

			Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/772,132		KANAROV ET AL		•		
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Ephrem Aler		2821				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appe	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>08 De</i>	ecember 200	9 <u>5</u> .				
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
,—	Since this application is in condition	for allowan	ice except fo	r formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is		
,_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 10-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
'	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5,10-13 and 15-17</u> is	/are rejecte	d.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or	election req	uirement.				
•—	ion Papers	•						
	The specification is objected to by th	e Evaminer	r					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are			l objected to by the F	- - - - - - - -			
ات (۱۰	Applicant may not request that any obje	•						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				•	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<del>-</del>					٠	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
•								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign	priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
. a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	it(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		. 4	) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-948)						O-152)		
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date <u>12-08-05</u> .	F10/38/08)		i)		- · <del></del> /		

Application/Control Number: 10/772,132

Art Unit: 2821

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1 and 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Regarding claims 1 and 12, the phrase "may be" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). The office suggests replacing "may be" with --is--.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 5/2, 10, 11, 13, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jeng et al. (US 6,451,161) in view of Koshimizu (US 6,162,323).

Re claims 2, 5/2,10, 13, 15 and 16, Jeng discloses plasma processing apparatus including an ion source for bombarding a substrate (Fig. 5, 7, 8), comprising

an evacuated chamber (10) for containing a plasma (Figs. 5, 7),

a radio frequency source (28) for exciting the plasma using radio frequency energy,

Application/Control Number: 10/772,132

Art Unit: 2821

a re-entrant vessel (i.e., plural dielectric windows 20'A to 20'E) positioned within the chamber to shape and make more uniform said plasma contained within the chamber (Fig. 7; abstract; Col. 1, lines 6-10; Col. 9, lines 11-20; Col. 10, lines 7-40), and

one or more magnets (i.e., induction coils 32), positioned within the re-entrant vessel (i.e., plural dielectric windows 20'A to 20'E extending toward the wafer 50) (Figs. 7, 8; abstract; Col. 9, lines 15-20; Col. 10, lines 7-40; wherein the re-entrant vessel (i.e., plural dielectric windows 20'A to 20'E extending toward the wafer 50) is not evacuated).

Jeng does not discloses an optical grid within the chamber having a plurality of apertures of the optical grid to bombard the substrate.

In the same field of endeavor, Koshimizu teaches of providing an optical grid (i.e., grid electrode 128) having a plurality of apertures (129) within a processing chamber (102) for the purpose of dividing the processing chamber into a plasma generating space and a processing space to allow a change in conductance with respect to a gas flow flowing between the plasma generating space and the processing space (Fig. 1; Col. 3, lines 10-18).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jeng's plasma processing apparatus by providing an optical grid (i.e., grid electrode 128) within a processing chamber (102) as taught by Koshimizu for the purpose of dividing the processing chamber into a plasma generating space and a processing space to allow a change in conductance with respect to a gas flow flowing between the plasma generating space and the processing space.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,132 Page 4

Art Unit: 2821

Re claim 11, Jeng further shows the re-entrant vessel (i.e., plural dielectric windows 20'A to 20'E) is movable within the chamber in at least a first direction (i.e., in height) to adjust the plasma uniformity (abstract; Col. 1, lines 6-10).

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1, 4, 5/1 and 17 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 7. Claim 12 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 3 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fail to teach or suggest, alone or in combination, the limitations: "further comprising an actuator for moving the magnets" as claimed in claim 3 and 14.

### Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed on 11-28-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant argument Jeng does not show an ion source is respectfully disagreed. Although, Jeng does not show an optical grid as part of the apparatus, Koshimizu teaches of providing an optical grid (i.e., grid electrode 128) having a plurality of apertures (129) within a processing chamber (102) for the purpose of dividing the processing chamber into a plasma generating space and a processing space to allow a change in conductance with respect to

Art Unit: 2821

a gas flow flowing between the plasma generating space and the processing space (Fig. 1; Col. 3, lines 10-18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jeng's plasma processing apparatus by providing an optical grid (i.e., grid electrode 128) within a processing chamber (102) as taught by Koshimizu for the purpose of dividing the processing chamber into a plasma generating space and a processing space to allow a change in conductance with respect to a gas flow flowing between the plasma generating space and the processing space.

#### Remarks

11. The 6,451,161 reference listed in the IDS had been cited by the examiner in the notice of reference cited by the examiner mailed to applicant on 6-27-05.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ephrem Alemu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1818. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F Flex hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don K. Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EA 2-16-06

TUYETVO
AARY EXAMINER

Muyel